


Inclusive Schools

Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Facilitator Manual



**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR
URBAN SCHOOL
IMPROVEMENT**

2004 National Institute for Urban School Improvement™
Arizona State University P.O.Box 872011 Tempe
Arizona 85287-2011
Phone 480.965.0391 • Fax 480.727.7012
www.urbanschools.org

Table of Contents

Facilitator Manual.....	1
Table of Contents.....	2
National Institute for Urban School Improvement	4
NIUSI Goals.....	4
Leadership Academy Model.....	5
Professional Development Modules.....	5
Leadership Academies	7
Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling	7
Academy 2: Exploring Inclusive Practices in Schools	7
Academy 3: Exploring Inclusive Practices in Classrooms	8
Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling.....	9
Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling	10
Module Outcomes	10
Activities and Lecturettes	10
Agenda	11
Introductions and Greetings.....	12
Activity 1: What is Inclusive Education and Why Do We Do It? - Background	13
Activity 1: What is Inclusive Education and Why Do We Do It?	14
Activity 1, Part 1: What is inclusive?	14
Activity 1, Part 2: Examples of inclusion.....	15
Activity 1, Part 3: Inclusion beliefs	16
Lecturette 1: Defining Inclusion.....	17
Activity 2: Pathways to Inclusive Education - Background	23
Activity 2: Pathways to Inclusive Education.....	24
Activity 2, Part 1: Progressive pathways.....	24
Activity 2, Part 2: Pathways within your school	25
Lecturette 2: Appreciative Inquiry: Moving Forward Without Losing the Past	26
Activity 3: Designing an Inclusive School - Background	32
Activity 3: Designing an Inclusive School.....	33
Activity 3, Part 1: Strength to dreams	33
Leave Taking.....	35
Leave Taking, Part 1: Self Assessment	35
Leave Taking, Part 2: Debrief	35
Leave Taking, Part 3: Academy Evaluation	36
Resources.....	37

Glossary38

Appendix A: Activity Handouts 39

National Institute for Urban School Improvement

The National Institute for Urban School Improvement (NIUSI) is funded by the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The mission of NIUSI is to support the building of capacity in urban schools and school districts so that students with disabilities are engaged in high quality curriculum and learning experiences that improve their ability to succeed in school and in post-school opportunities. NIUSI works to develop powerful networks of urban local education agencies and schools that embrace and implement a data-based, continuous improvement approach for inclusive practices. Embedded within this approach is a commitment to evidence based practice in early intervention, universal design, literacy and positive behavior supports.

Part of NIUSI's work is to link existing general education reform networks with special education networks and we also synthesize existing research into products that are made accessible in both print and electronic versions. These offerings support the efforts of professionals, families, researchers, advocacy organizations and others involved in the work to create culturally responsive, inclusive school communities.

NIUSI Goals

One of the main goals of The National Institute for Urban School Improvement is to work collaboratively with educators in its partner districts in the area of professional development. This work is grounded in the beliefs that professional development must:

Address specific needs of states, districts, schools and communities with a focus on helping students achieve learning and performance goals.

Be a collaborative endeavor with teachers, administrators, families and students involved in the design, planning and or implementations.

Rely upon content and processes that are research-based and proven in practice.

Be school-based, job-embedded, and continuously evaluated and adjusted to ensure effectiveness in meeting school and student learning goals.

Leadership Academy Model

A strategy through which NIUSI helps educators develop leadership skills for school change is through the Leadership Academy model of professional development. In collaboration with schools and local universities, NIUSI creates these Leadership Academies for preservice and in-service activities. The approach includes careful consideration of the content for professional development, adult learning principles, and selection of teams from schools and districts that can support their team members' learning and practice. In this way, professional development can build on converged needs, create a sense of common purpose and extend the creativity and skill of practitioners. Specifically, NIUSI works with urban school districts to build information systems that assist leadership teams to focus on goals for instructional, curricular, and cultural improvement and for empowering action research agendas among school professionals.

All academies are based on the National Institute's assumptions that great schools:

Use the valuable knowledge and experience that children and their families bring to school learning.

Expand students' life opportunities, available choices and community contributions.

Construct education for social justice, access and equity.

Build on the extraordinary resources that urban communities provide for life-long learning.

Need individuals, family organizations and communities to work together to create future generations of possibility.

Practice scholarship by creating partnerships for action-based research and inquiry.

Shape their practice based on evidence of what results in successful learning of each student.

Foster relationships based on care, respect and responsibility.

Produce high achieving students.

Understand that people learn in different ways throughout their lives; great schools respond with learning opportunities that work.

Professional Development Modules

Systemic school change is a complex and difficult task. The challenge is great, but educators throughout our nation and other nations are actively engaging the opportunity to transform education and how we go about the work of teaching and learning in our schools. This module is

one of ten developed by NIUSI to assess networks of schools engaging their faculty, staff, families, students, and community members in ongoing renewal and systemic change.

Every module is designed with three academies that build knowledge, skills, and practices clustered around particular aspects of school wide improvement. The intent is simple: Build a common vision, vocabulary, and skill set around essential elements of school improvement. The best way to implement this module is to bring together building leadership teams from a cluster of schools so that teams can learn from one another, and create a practice community that can support innovation. The academies should be offered in sequence from academies 1 – 3. Space the academies about four weeks apart, so that some application can occur between sessions. Make sure that there is a plan for coaching on site between modules.

Leadership Academies

The goal of all Leadership Academies is to create a network of skilled and knowledgeable teacher leaders, administrators and family members who will serve as effective agents of change. The participants are predominantly teams of educational professionals from schools and/or districts who are organized to advance the knowledge and practice related to systems change and school improvement. The Leadership Academy creates a forum for open discussion and learning to help school and community members think more broadly and systemically about school improvement.

The following are the Leadership Academies in this module.

Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

This Academy defines inclusive schooling practices for all students, including those with the most intensive special education needs.

As a result of the activities and information shared at this Leadership Academy, participants will:

- Define inclusive schooling.

- Distinguish between exemplars of inclusive and non-inclusive practices.

- Place their own schools on a continuum of growth from “on the radar screen” to “distinguished practice.”

- Use appreciative inquiry to explore the capacities of schools to do such work.

Academy 2: Exploring Inclusive Practices in Schools

This Academy defines inclusive schooling practices in classrooms and buildings K-12. It offers examples of schools where successful outcomes are achieved for students with disabilities at all levels of intensity of services.

As a result of the activities and information shared at this Leadership Academy, participants will:

- Articulate features of school climates and structures that facilitate inclusive education.

- Discover how to work with teams (vertical, grade level, content area) to identify staffing and curriculum approaches for inclusive schooling.

Academy 3: Exploring Inclusive Practices in Classrooms

This Academy defines inclusive schooling practices in classrooms K-12. It offers examples of schools where successful outcomes are achieved for students with disabilities at all levels of intensity of services.

As a result of the activities and information shared at this Leadership Academy, participants will:

Identify features of inclusive curriculum design.

Identify features of inclusive pedagogy.

Identify features of inclusive classroom climates.

Inclusive Schooling

Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

This Academy defines inclusive schooling practices for all students, including those with the most intensive special education needs.

Module Outcomes

As a result of the activities and information shared in this Leadership Academy, module participants will

Define inclusive schooling.

Distinguish between exemplars of inclusive and non-inclusive practices.

Place their own schools on a continuum of growth from “on the radar screen” to “distinguished practice.”

Use appreciative inquiry to explore the capacities of schools to do such work.

Activities and Lecturettes

These activities and lecturettes support the Leadership Academy’s purpose and outcomes:

Activity #1: What is inclusive education and why do we do it?

This activity is designed to open up the discourse about what we mean by inclusive education and the fundamental beliefs that undergird the continued press for a unified system of education that brings together the work of general and special education. Participants will be expected to assess where their schools currently are in terms of inclusive education and interact with a series of vignettes designed to engage their value system and beliefs about students.

Lecturette #1: Defining Inclusion

This lecturette covers the historical attitudes toward teaching, the new trend toward including all students in the regular classroom, and personalizing instruction for each student.

Activity #2: Pathways to Inclusive Education

Participants interact with a series of rubrics that are organized around the systemic change framework introduced in Module 1: Building Leadership Teams. Participants

identify anecdotal evidence that will help them place their own school's progress towards inclusive practices.

Lecturette #2: Appreciative Inquiry: Moving forward without losing the past

This lecturette describes appreciative inquiry and the 4 steps it includes: discover, dream, design and deliver.

Activity #3: Designing an Inclusive School

This activity provides an opportunity for participants to practice leading the process of appreciative inquiry around an arena that they are familiar with. Encourage teams to work together on this process and both engage the act and consider how they might improve their leadership so that their whole faculty could participate.

Agenda

We constructed this Leadership Academy to occur within a 3-hour timeframe with 15 minutes or so for breaks and other time adjustments. The times listed below are approximate but reflect the time these activities and lecturettes have previously taken. Facilitators should be flexible, read their audience, and work to achieve the overall purpose and outcomes.

TIME	EVENT
15 min	Introductions and Greetings
35 min	Activity 1: What is Inclusive Education and Why Do We Do It?
20 min	Lecturette 1: Defining Inclusion
25 min	Activity 2: Pathways to Inclusive Education
10 min	Break
20 min	Lecturette 2: Appreciative Inquiry: Moving Forward Without Losing the Past
25 min	Activity 3: Designing an Inclusive School
30 min	Leave-taking and Feedback

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Introductions and Greetings

Spend some time introducing yourself, the module sponsors, and the Leadership Academy to the participants.


To facilitate this introduction, use the Academy Overview PowerPoint; it provides the background, Academy purpose and objectives, and the agenda. If time allows, ask participants to introduce themselves by letting others know where they are from and their roles and responsibilities within their buildings.

Facilitator Materials

Academy Overview

Time Limit

15 minutes



Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Activity 1: What is Inclusive Education and Why Do We Do It? - Background

This activity is designed to open up the discourse about what we mean by inclusive education and the fundamental beliefs that undergird the continued press for a unified system of education that brings together the work of general and special education. Participants will be expected to assess where their schools currently are in terms of inclusive education and interact with a series of vignettes designed to engage their value system and beliefs about students.

Outcomes Met In Activity

Define inclusive schooling.

Distinguish between exemplars of inclusive and non-inclusive practices.

Activity Sections

Part 1: What is inclusive?

Part 2: Examples of inclusion

Part 3: Inclusion beliefs

Complete Activity Takes 35 Minutes

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Activity 1: What is Inclusive Education and Why Do We Do It?

Activity 1, Part 1: What is inclusive?

Facilitator Materials

None

Participant Materials

None

Activity Purpose

This activity warms up the group to learn about inclusion. It gives the participants an opportunity to share their background knowledge on inclusion.

Activity

Begin with a brief whole group discussion. Ask participants if they consider their schools to be using inclusive practices. Invite them to say why they think they are being inclusive. Ask them whom they are inclusive about and if there are any students who would usually go to their school that are either not there or not “included”. Ask them how they know someone is “included”.

Facilitator Note

None

Activity Time Limit

10 minutes

Activity 1, Part 2: Examples of inclusion

Facilitator Materials

None

Participant Materials

Making Inclusion Work vignettes

Activity Purpose

This activity provides participants with examples of inclusion.

Activity


Ask individuals to read through the vignettes and reflect on each story. Then, in small groups, discuss the vignettes around the topic of inclusion.

Facilitator Note

Decide ahead of time how much time you will allow for individuals to read independently. Be conscious of the fact that people read at different rates and need various levels of noise control. If possible, you may allow participants to leave the area for a short period until they have finished reading.

Activity Time Limit

20 minutes



Activity 1, Part 3: Inclusion beliefs

Facilitator Materials

None

Participant Materials

None

Activity Purpose

Participants identify their own beliefs about inclusion.

Activity

Ask the participants to identify their own beliefs about inclusion. Give them the following questions as prompts for this activity:

- o Do students and teachers benefit from inclusion?
- o What students should be included in the general classroom?
- o What about teachers?

Are general education teachers prepared for inclusion? Must there be a special education teacher available in the classroom?

Facilitator Note

None

Activity Time Limit

5 minutes

Lecturette 1: Defining Inclusion


Use this presentation to explain two important ideas. First, the movement toward inclusion and inclusive practices has occurred over time and changed its focus and mission in that time. Second, some of the very deep-rooted assumptions that have long guided schooling in the U.S. explain much about why we needed to advocate for inclusion and also why it's been so complicated to understand and difficult to achieve. The lecturette should end with the point that there is much new hope since we've been through this murky period of development, growing understanding, and change.

Facilitator Materials

Lecturette 1 PowerPoint

Complete Lecturette Takes 20 Minutes


Slide
1



Lecturette 1: Defining Inclusion:

This lecturette covers the historical attitudes toward teaching, the new trend toward including all students in the regular classroom, and personalizing instruction for each student.

Slide
2



Historically:

This slide illustrates our educational history of differentiation.

We sort children and youth by their presumed differences into diagnostic categories: Round, square, triangle, oval students.

The labels have only increased over time.

Facilitator Instructions:


Ask participants to provide examples of current student labeling. Some examples may be: students with special needs, students with disabilities, etc. Do not get into a debate over which labels are politically correct at this time.

Slide
3

Teachers

“School personnel are graduates of our colleges and universities. It is there that they learn that there are at least two types of human beings, and if you choose to work with one of them you render yourself legally and conceptually incompetent to work with others.” (p. 258)

Sarason, S. (1990). *The predictable failure of educational reform*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass



Teachers:

We also label teachers.

We need round teachers for the round students, square for the square and so on.

Even more important, if a “round” teacher finds she has a student who is square or squareish, it is her professional responsibility to refer the child to the square teacher, and all too often, another setting.


Facilitator Instructions:

Have participants name some of these teachers: Title 1, etc.

Slide
4

Assumptions About Learning

- Students are responsible for their own learning
- When students do not learn, there is something wrong with them.
- Schools must direct students to the learning situation that match their learning ability profile. Otherwise, no learning will occur.




Historical Assumptions about Students:

The process of differentiation of students, teachers, curricula, and educational settings is grounded in a very deep rooted set of assumptions.

Students are responsible for their own learning. When students do not learn, there is something wrong with them.

Schools must figure out what’s wrong with as much precision as possible so that students can be directed to the track, curriculum, teachers and classrooms that match their learning ability profile. Otherwise, no learning will occur.

Slide
5



Curriculum:

The point is easily seen when you think about curriculum.

We have a selected curriculum/set of standards that is supposed to “work” for most kids.

Others need adaptations and modifications.


It’s not been a mystery to anyone that the modifications on the left side of the picture are less desirable than those on the right.

Modifications on the right have the image of “more and better” while those on the left are “lesser, simpler, slower, and incomplete.”

Slide
6

New Assumptions About Inclusive Environment & Students with Disabilities

- Inclusion changed schooling experiences
- Inclusion redressed discrimination
- Schools developed new attitudes toward discrimination
- Teachers needed to work together collaboratively



New Assumptions:

When advocates for students with disabilities first sought inclusion, they operated on a series of assumptions that emphasized social justice. Early inclusion advocates did not emphasize the kinds of teaching practices that would redress discrimination and exclusion AND help students with disabilities achieve similar educational outcomes.

Inclusion was about changing schooling experiences for students with disabilities.


Inclusion was a *good* that redressed the discriminatory and disenfranchising educational practices of the past.

Schools needed to develop a consensus about educating students with disabilities and adopt a shared mission that included them.

Teachers needed to work together collaboratively to create successful learning experiences and outcomes for students with disabilities.

Slide
7

The National Picture on Inclusive Practices



Some summary data about the national picture on inclusive practices:

Inclusion is “happening” in schools across the country.

Roughly half of the students in a typical school receiving special education services spend at least 80% of their time in general education classrooms.

Students with all types and degree of disability (except for deaf-blindness) increasingly received schooling in general education classrooms.

At the same time, students with more severe disabilities (e.g. deaf-blindness, serious emotional disturbance & multiple disabilities) continue to be disproportionately represented in separate schools.

Where a student with disabilities lives is the most significant determinant of placement. In Socorro, TX the proportion of enrolled in special education dropped from 12% to 10% from 1998 – 2001 while the school population grew by more than 7000. The percentage of special education students more than 80% of the time in general education classrooms jumped from 27% to 82%.

Of the hundred largest urban schools, more than 80% place the largest percentage of their students with IEPs in resource rooms, separate classes or schools for more

severely disabled students.

Minority students and students living in poverty are overrepresented in high incidence categories.


Students in special education are more likely to be African American and Latino than European-American or Asian-American.

Among the most frequent reasons for referral to special education are reading difficulties and behaviour problems.

Interventions to improve reading and classroom management have been demonstrated to reduce the number of children who fail or are referred to special education.

There are no mechanisms in place to guarantee that students will be exposed to state of the art reading instruction or classroom management before they are identified as having a “within child” problem.

Slide
8



Teacher Perceptions about Inclusion:

Many general educators feel unsuited and even unprofessional about working with “square” or “triangle” children when they are licensed only to work with “round” ones.

Two-thirds support the idea of inclusion. A smaller majority are willing to include students with disabilities in their own

class.

Teachers willingness varies somewhat depending on the type and degree of disability.

More than half feel inclusion provides benefits to students

Only one-third of teachers believe they have sufficient time, skills, training, or resources for inclusion.

Although many educators and policy analysts consider educational technology a vehicle for transforming education, relatively few teachers reported feeling very well prepared to integrate educational technology into classroom instruction (20%).

While 54% of the teachers taught limited English proficient or culturally diverse students, and 71% taught students with disabilities, only 20% felt very well prepared to meet the needs of these students.

Only 28% of teachers felt very well prepared to use student performance assessment techniques; 41% reported feeling very well prepared to implement new teaching methods, and 36% reported feeling very well prepared to implement state or district curriculum and performance.

Slide
9



Special Education Inclusion:


Too many early attempts to move students with disabilities into general education classrooms simply moved their specialized staff, materials, and curricula with them in ways that made them stand out and not really be full members of the class.

This occurred most in those classroom setting that were most “traditional” in teaching to the

majority with a single “standard” curriculum.

Students with disabilities often “stood out” as if they were in a bubble, experiencing the same kind of segregated education, just in a new location.

Slide
10



The Curriculum Curve:

Throughout education student diversity is expanding. There are fewer and fewer “standard issue” students to populate the middle and more and more who require learning supports whether they qualify formally for special education services or not.

Some of the general education conversation about diversity is really about shifting the

misshapen curve to the right, but doesn't really challenge the logic of the curve itself.

Slide
11



The new and current agenda is different:


The *inclusion* agenda that sought to move special education students into general education classrooms has shifted to an agenda that seeks to redesign those classrooms into ones that employ *inclusive schooling practices*.

PREMISE of inclusive school communities are that all children, with or without disabilities

belong in school and should have access to similar broad educational outcomes.

Dianne L. Ferguson, 2002

Slide
12



Unified Educational Systems:

This is how schools can challenge the bell curve logic and embrace a genuine diversity and multicultural logic.

Each student represents a unique combination of abilities and educational needs and deserves individual assistance at various times throughout schooling in order to achieve important outcomes.

Schools are organized around learning supports.

Human and other resources are deployed to provide a range of learning supports in a range of settings to students with unequal educational needs.

Schools and teachers are accountable for all students -- poor children, children with disabilities, children with limited English proficiency, and children from different racial and cultural backgrounds -- and must provide assurance that all students are appropriately and effectively educated.


Slide
13



Personalized Curriculum and Teaching:

All students pursue the same curriculum/standards, but in different ways, and sometimes to different degrees.

Slide
14



Systemic Inclusion:

A process of meshing general and special education reform initiatives and strategies in order to achieve a unified system of public education that incorporates all children and youth as active, fully participating members of the school community; that views diversity as the norm; and that maintains a high quality education for each student by assuring

meaningful curriculum, effective teaching, and necessary supports.

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Activity 2: Pathways to Inclusive Education - Background

Participants interact with a series of rubrics that are organized around the systemic change framework introduced in Module 1: Building Leadership Teams. Participants identify anecdotal evidence that will help them place their own school's progress towards inclusive practices.

Outcomes Met In Activity

Place their own schools on a continuum of growth from on the radar screen to distinguished practice.

Activity Sections

Part 1: Progressive pathways

Part 2: Pathways within your school

Complete Activity Takes 25 Minutes

Activity 2: Pathways to Inclusive Education

Activity 2, Part 1: Progressive pathways

Facilitator Materials

None

Participant Materials


Pathways to Inclusive Education

Activity Purpose

This activity gives participants an idea of what ideal inclusion looks like and gauge where their schools currently stand on specific inclusion topics.

Activity

Break participants into school groups. Ask them to fill in the “progressing” section of the Pathways handout and then rate their school based on each handout’s traits by shading in the arrow from “not at all” to “leading”. The first Pathway is completed for the participants.



Facilitator Note

Keep the activity flowing by making sure the groups don’t get stuck on any one pathway. Remind them of the time remaining for the activity and monitor the groups to make sure they are progressing through the pathway topics.

It will be impossible for the groups to complete all the handouts, but they should complete three or four in the amount of time given for the activity.

Activity Time Limit

15 minutes

Activity 2, Part 2: Pathways within your school

Facilitator Materials

None

Participant Materials


Pathways to Inclusive Education

Activity Purpose

This activity provides an opportunity for participants to identify positive inclusion practices at their own schools.

Activity

Have groups consider what their schools are currently doing for each pathway topic. Urge them to draw their thoughts from the “leading” side of the page. Ask them to fill in the four circles on the *Pathways to Inclusive Education* handout to indicate this movement toward inclusion. Some examples may include: departments developing accommodations and adaptations to standards tests, coaching used to follow-up differentiated instruction inservice, and the language teacher handing out the rubric for performance standards so that students could pre-assess their competencies before direct teaching began.



Facilitator Note

None

Activity Time Limit

10 minutes

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Lecturette 2: Appreciative Inquiry: Moving Forward Without Losing the Past

This lecturette outlines issues that influence data collection and use. The lecturette builds on Activity 2. It provides the basis for Activity 3.

Facilitator Materials


Lecturette 2 PowerPoint

Outcomes Met In Lecturette

Understand the impact of progress in the building from a complex framework of change mechanisms.

Complete Lecturette Takes 20 Minutes


Slide
1



Lecturette 2: Appreciative Inquiry:

This lecturette describes appreciative inquiry and the 4 steps it includes: discover, dream, design and deliver.

Slide
2




Appreciative Inquiry:

An organization that inquires into problems will keep finding problems but an organization which attempts to appreciate what is best in itself will discover more and more that is good. It can then to use these discoveries to build a new future where the best becomes more common.

Appreciative Inquiry asks us to pay special attention to "the best of the past and present" -- in order to "ignite the collective


imagination of what might be." - Dr. David L. Cooperrider, Case Western Reserve University

Slide
3




Appreciative Inquiry


- Choose to see possibilities, capabilities and assets
- Focus on what's *right*, rather than what's wrong
- Develop questions to uncover moments of top performance
- Create the future you desire



Slide
4




Perception




Perception:

In everyday life, most people and organizations are constrained by the *perception* that their resources, and hence their horizons, are limited. This perception -- that we must "face realities" -- is without a doubt the greatest single constraint on human imagination, vision and enterprise.

Slide
5



Choice



Choice:

Appreciative inquiry begins with a different set of assumptions. We begin with the belief that we have a *choice* -- which we can consciously choose (in the Gestalt sense) what we "see" and act upon. In both the personal and social realms, we can choose to focus on problems, needs and deficits -- the traditional problem-solving approach. Or we can choose to see possibilities, capabilities and assets -- the basis of appreciative inquiry.

Slide
6



What's right:

By focusing on what's *right*, rather than what's wrong with an organization, an individual or even a society, AI gives us access to the kind of energy that can be transformative. Having that kind of energy to work with gives us the confidence to develop and pursue a new image of the future.


Slide
7



Generative:

AI is a *generative* process that gives us a way to bring possibilities to life and develop our capacities. Through a carefully developed set of questions and a process of dialogue, we uncover stories of our "peak experiences" – those moments in our lives when we felt most effective, most connected, most alive.


Slide
8




Proof:

These stories provide irrefutable *proof* of our actual capabilities. They give rise to new *images* of what the future could be. They raise our sights, energize us and give us the courage to dream and act boldly.

Slide
9




Slide
10



Create:


Rather than "accepting reality," we see that what we call "reality" is defined by what we choose to see, what we choose to think and talk about, what we choose to act upon. It follows that we have the capacity to *create* the kind of future we desire.

Slide
11




List of comparison words for the opposed thinking views.

Slide
12



List of comparison words for the opposed thinking views.

Slide
13




Appreciative Inquiry pervades all levels of education – from administration and the act of inclusion, to students and the act of learning.

Slide
14

The Appreciative Inquiry approach is often worked out in practice by using the '4-D' model:

- Discover
- Dream
- Design
- Deliver



The 4-D model

Slide
15

Discover



Discover—people talk to one another, often via structured interviews, to discover the times when the organization is at its best. These stories are told as richly as possible.

Slide
16


Dream



Dream—the dream phase is often run as a large group conference where people are encouraged to envision the organization as if the peak moments discovered in the 'discover' phase were the norm rather than exceptional.


Slide
17

Design



Design—a small team is empowered to go away and design ways of creating the organization dreamed in the conference(s).

Slide
18



Deliver—the final phase is to implement the changes.

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Activity 3: Designing an Inclusive School - Background

This activity provides an opportunity for participants to practice leading the process of appreciative inquiry around an arena that they are familiar with. Encourage teams to work together on this process and both engage the act and consider how they might improve their leadership so that their whole faculty could participate.

Outcomes Met In Activity

Use appreciative inquiry to explore the capacities of schools to do such work.

Activity Sections

Part 1: Strength to dreams

Part 2: Sharing Stairways

Complete Activity Takes 20 Minutes

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Activity 3: Designing an Inclusive School

Activity 3, Part 1: Strength to dreams

Facilitator Materials

None

Participant Materials

Strength to Dream Stairway

Activity Purpose

Participants go through a strength-based process for improving inclusion at their school.

Activity

In pairs, have participants use their Inclusion Continuums to identify an area they would like to explore further. With this topic in hand and using the *Strength to Dream Stairway*, ask pairs to identify what their school is doing particularly well in the topic (this is the discover phase of appreciative inquiry and the “strength” phase of the activity). Next, have pairs “dream” of what the school will optimally look like if fully inclusive in the topic chosen. Finally, pairs must “develop” a way to get from the present to the dream.



Facilitator Note

None

Activity Time Limit

10 minutes

Activity 2, Part 2: Sharing stairways

Facilitator Materials

None

Participant Materials

Strength to Dream Stairway

Activity Purpose

This activity allows participants to gain ideas from others in the group.

Activity

Have pairs in groups of 4 or 6. Have participants share their designs with each other.

Facilitator Note

None

Activity Time Limit

10 minutes

Module 3: Inclusive Schooling - Academy 1: Understanding Inclusive Schooling

Leave Taking

Leave Taking, Part 1: Self Assessment

Participant Materials

Self Assessment

Activity Purpose

The self assessment provides the participant with an objective means of evaluating the knowledge and skills gained in this academy.

Activity

Have participants complete the *Self Assessment*. Remind groups that their assessments will be collected for module assessment purposes and they do not need to put their names on the assessments.

Activity Time Limit

10 minutes



The screenshot shows a document titled "Self Assessment" from the National Institute for Urban School Improvement. It includes the following text:

This is a one-graded, anonymous self-assessment. You have 10 minutes to complete the following questions taken from the content of this academy. After that time the group will have the opportunity to share answers. Note that occasionally we collect these self-assessments to measure the effectiveness of the academy.

1. Define Inclusive Schooling.
2. What is an inclusive practice that your school is particularly good at, and how can that practice be built upon so your school can become better at being inclusive?

At the bottom of the page, it says "Inclusive Schools: Good for kids, families and communities" and "www.inclusiveschools.org".

Leave Taking, Part 2: Debrief

Participant Materials

Chart paper, overhead, or presentation slide

Participant Materials

Self Assessment

Activity Purpose

This activity gives participants a chance to compare their evaluation answers.

Activity

Return to whole group and ask participants to share their responses. Use an overhead or chart paper to record what they say as a way to highlight new learning, and congratulate the group on their hard work.

Activity Time Limit

10 minutes

Leave Taking, Part 3: Academy Evaluation

Participant Materials

Academy Evaluation

Activity Purpose

This activity provides feedback for module developers from module participants.

Activity

Have participants complete the *Academy Evaluation*. This evaluation gives the module developers a chance to see how the academy is being received and allows them to improve it as needed.

Facilitator Note

Collect the *Academy Evaluations* and return them to the National Institute for Urban School Improvement along with the *Self Assessments*.

Activity Time Limit

10 minutes



Academy Evaluation
Academy 3.1 Understanding Inclusion

Please let us know how useful you found the topics and activities.

Learn It

- General Ed Teacher
- Administrator
- Special Ed Teacher
- Parent
- Paraprofessional
- Other _____

Academy 1: What is Inclusion and why do we do it?

How	1	2	3	4	5
Clear					

Academy 2: Pathways to Inclusive Education

How	1	2	3	4	5
Clear					

Academy 3: Designing an Inclusive School

How	1	2	3	4	5
Clear					

Self Evaluation

How	1	2	3	4	5
Clear					

I am affiliated with any:

- Elementary School
- Middle School
- Secondary School

Three things I learned that made me go... AHA!

- _____
- _____
- _____

If I were on the next academy planning team, I would ...

As a result of my participation in this academy, I am going to ...

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR
URBAN SCHOOL
IMPROVEMENT

Resources

Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research and practice (2000). Gay, G. Contact: Teachers College Press, P.O. Box 20, Williston, VT 05495-002; phone: (800) 575-6566 fax: (802) 864-7626

Designing personalized learning for every student (2001). Ferguson, D. L., Ralph, G., Lester, J., Droege, C., Gudjonsdottir, H., Meyer, G., Williams, J., & Sampson, N. K. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. Visit ASCD website: <http://www.ascd.org>

Taking a good look at schools: A visit guide (1995). Moore, C.J. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon.

Transformed inclusive schools: A framework to guide fundamental change in urban schools (2001). Ferguson, D.L., Kozleski, E.B., and Smith, A. Available online at: <http://www.edc.org/urban/publicat.htm#transformed>

Improving education: The promise of inclusive schooling (2001). The National Institute for Urban School Improvement. Available online at: <http://www.edc.org/urban/publicat.htm#improvinged>

Glossary

Building Leadership Team

A Building Leadership Team is a school-based group of individuals who work to provide a strong organizational process for school renewal and improvement.

National Institute for Urban School Improvement

The National Institute for Urban School Improvement (NIUSI) is funded by the Office of Special Education Programs at the U.S. Department of Education. The mission of NIUSI is to support the building of capacity in urban schools and school districts so that students with disabilities are engaged in high quality curriculum and learning experiences that improve their ability to succeed in school and in post-school opportunities.

Systemic Change Framework

The *Systemic Change Framework* visually represents the varying levels of effort that combine to affect student achievement and learning. The four levels of the framework are interconnected, as represented by the permeable lines that delineate levels and efforts. What occurs at the district level affects the school level, which in turn affects student learning. Of course all these local levels are constantly affected by the agendas, policies, and practices that emerge from state educational organizations and national governmental activities.

Inclusive Schooling

Appendix A: Activity Handouts